

Tab 32

---

om: Conklin, Kelly  
nt: Tuesday, August 20, 2002 2:44 PM  
To: 'bobc@prosourceonline.com'  
Subject: FW: Kent study

Yo,  
I will forward his reply to my request.  
O&O  
K

-----Original Message-----

From: [Kpconklin@aol.com](mailto:Kpconklin@aol.com) [SMTP:Kpconklin@aol.com] <mailto:[SMTP:Kpconklin@aol.com]>  
Sent: Tuesday, August 20, 2002 2:42 PM  
To: [tzphd@hotmail.com](mailto:tzphd@hotmail.com)  
Cc: [kellyc@prosourceonline.com](mailto:kellyc@prosourceonline.com)  
Subject: Re: Kent study

Yo,  
We need to have something positive out of this study. As our consultant you certainly can interpret information for us and divulge the results. There is nothing unethical about that. Once again, I need something positive to come out of this. Since the beginning of the study all I heard from the researcher was how "HUGE" the results were and when the VNR came out he got pissed and all the sudden everything changed? There is something positive there that he didn't want to find out of spite. I need you to find it for me.

K